Approved For Release 2001/08/27 : CIA-RD

DD/A Ragistry

3 0 JUL 1976

25X1A

25X1A

MEMORANDUM FOR:

FROM

Benefits and Services Division

SUBJECT

Draft Report of Committee on Gifts and

the Proxmire Amendment

I have reviewed the draft committee report and recommend the following changes:

- Delete paragraph 4d.
- 2. Add a new subparagraph 2 as follows:

The Department of State was delegated authority by the President in 1966 to establish rules and regulations necessary to carry out the purposes of the Foreign Gift and Decorations Act. State regulations provide that foreign gifts received by U. S. Government employees and their families be deposited with the Chief of Protocol if the retail value exceeds This has posed a serious problem to the Agency in the past because Protocol records are available to the public and in most of our foreign gift cases neither the donor or donee could be openly identified. As a result of recent negotiations between the Agency and the Office of Protocol an exception has been granted in the attached memorandum which permits us to omit the names of donor and donee or other pertinent information about foreign gifts being deposited with them. With this agreement we will be able to comply fully with the provisions of the Foreign Gift and Decorations Act.

## State Dept. declassification & release instructions on file

25X1A

Att

Distribution:

0 - Addressee

Approved For Release 2001/08/27 : CIA-RDP 79-00498 A000 5000 1000 6-9

Washington, D.C. 20520

June 9, 1976

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

STATINTL

Dea

I refer to the meeting held in your office on February 10 in which Mr. Gookin and Miss Guilbault of my staff participated to discuss aspects of CIA compliance with the Foreign Gifts and Decorations Act of 1966. I regret our delay in responding to the several questions you raised at that time, which was occasioned by the careful consideration we have had to give the matter.

You inquired, I understand, about the deposit with the Chief of Protocol of gifts received by Agency personnel, and proposed turning over particular gifts without furnishing the name of the donor or donee. The Department's position on this question is that it would prefer to have the names of both donor and donee. We can appreciate, however, that in some cases the Agency would prefer to withhold certain information when in its judgment it is deemed necessary to protect intelligence sources. You are aware, I am sure, that neither the Act nor the regulations issued pursuant to it stipulates how gift records should be maintained in the Office of Protocol; the existing requirement to furnish names of donor and donee and other pertinent information is a procedural one designed in the interest of good recordkeeping. We believe, therefore, that exceptions to the requirement can be made for the Central Intelligence Agency. Any requests for information which might arise on Agency gifts not available in the Department's files would, of course, have to be referred to the Agency.

You also suggested that Agency-held gifts be transferred to the Department of State in small groups over a period of time. We believe that piecemeal transfer of such gifts could lead to unnecessary and embarassing questions. We therefore recommend that the Agency's initial

STATINTL

Office of Personnel, Central Intelligence Agency, Washington, D.C. 20505.

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

Approved For Release 2001/08/27: CIA-RDP79-00498A000500010006-9

# Approved For Release 2001/08/27 : CIA-RDP79-00498A000500010006-9

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

-2-

transfer of gifts comprise all items which it now is holding for deposit with the Chief of Protocol.

Finally, your question regarding release of certain foreign gifts received by CIA personnel prior to October 15, 1966, has been addressed in a separate letter to Mr. Janney dated June 8, 1976.

You will be interested to know that each of the matters referred to above has been discussed informally with General Accounting Office site audit staff representatives this week. These representatives are familiar with the Act and with GAO's audit on compliance. They have expressed no objection to the positions taken in this letter.

Sincerely yours,

Hamptón Davis

Assistant Chief of Protocol

5 August 1976

#### FOREIGN GIFTS

The DDCI asked the IG to organize a group within the Agency to review the overall Agency policy on gift giving and to advise him on what our policy should be and how the current guidelines would best be modified. While the thrust of this review involves the Proxmire Amendment which would have restricted U. S. Government employees from giving gifts to foreign persons, the group is also giving some attention to the receipt of foreign gifts.

STATINTL

is the DDA member of this review group which also includes representatives of the DDO, DD/S&T, OLC, OGC and the IG. It will be necessary to delay revision of the foreign gifts regulations until the study group completes action and the DDCI determines any policy changes that may be required. A draft report has been prepared by the group and the IG is pressing for early action.

In the meantime, our regulations and procedures are sufficient to assure compliance with the Foreign Gift Act.

Approved For Release 2001/08/27: CIA-RDP79-00498A000500010006-9

STATINTL

#### SENDER WILL CHECK CLASSIFICATION TOP AND BOTTOM UNCLASSIFIED CONFIDENTIAL SECRET OFFICIAL ROUTING SLIP TO NAME AND ADDRESS DATE Mr. 3 AUG 1976 1 7D 18, Headquarters 2 & 7712 ST 3 5 6 ACTION DIRECT REPLY PREPARE REPLY APPROVAL DISPATCH RECOMMENDATION COMMENT FILE RETURN CONCURRENCE INFORMATION SIGNATURE Remarks: FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER FROM: NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NO. DATE Chief, Benefits and Services Division 5E 69, Hqs. x-4078 7/30/76 UNCLASSIFIED CONFIDENTIAL SECRET

FORM NO. 237 Use previous editions
Approved For Release 2001/08/27 : CIA-RDP79-00498A000500010006-9

Approved For Release 2001/08/27: CIA-RDP79-00498A000500010006-9 76-1729/A

24 Jun 3/5

MEMORANDUM FOR: Inspector General

FROM : Michael J. Malanick

Acting Deputy Director for

Administration

SUBJECT Agency Policy on Gifts and the

Proxmire Amendment to the

Foreign Relations Authorization

Act for Fiscal Year 1977

REFERENCE Memo to DD/O, DD/S&T, DD/A,

Gen Counsel, and Leg Counsel dtd 16 June 76, same subject

STATINTL

In accordance with referent memorandum, I have appointed Mr. Chief, Benefits and Services Division, Office of Personnel, as the

STATINTL

DDA representative in reviewing overall Agency policy is located in Room 5E-69 on gifts. Mr. Headquarters (x4078).

/s/ Michael J. Malanick

Michael J. Malanick

Dist:

0 & 1 - Add 2 - DDAChrono Subject

(Signed) F. W. M. Jeanny

1 - DD/Pers/SP Originator:

- C/BSD

Director of Personnel

- D/Pers Chrono (w/held)

- RDK Chrono (w/held)

24 JUN 1976

STATINTL '

OD/Pers/ imm (23 Jun 76) Approved For Release 2001/08/2

-RDP79-004<u>98A090</u>500010006-9 -- A 1 6 JUN 1976

DD/A Registry

MEMORANDUM FOR: Deputy Director for Operations

Deputy Director for Science & Technology

Deputy Director for Administration

General Counsel Legislative Counsel

SUBJECT

: Agency Policy on Gifts and the Proxmire Amendment to the Foreign Relations Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1977

25X1A 25X1A

REFERENCE

: HR

HR

and HHB

25X1A

25X1A

- has requested 1. Mr. that I organize a group to review the overall Agency Policy on Gifts and the effect that the proposed Proxmire Amendment to the Foreign Relations Authorization Act for FY 1977, would have on foreign intelligence gathering activities. The group will also make recommendations concerning this Amendment, for submission to Congress prior to its enactment.
- 2. It is therefore requested that each addressee appoint a senior officer to act as his representative and advise me soonest of his designation, location, and phone number. The IG or his representative will act as the leader of the group, relying primarily on the members for substantive contributions to consideration of the problem.
- The first meeting will be held in the Office of the Inspector General, Room 2E24 on 25 June 1976 at 1500 hours. Attached herewith for your information is an OLC fact sheet on the status of the Proxmire Amendment.

25X1A

Donald F. Chamberlain Inspector General

Attachment a/s

Approved For နှင့်ချိန်တိုင် (08/27 : CIA-RDP79-00498A000500010006-9

1 - D/Pers

2 DIFORT CL DV0/8/8

### Approved For Release 2001/08/27: CIA-RDP79-00498A000500010006-9

FACT SHEET ON POSSIBLE AMENDMENT TO THE "FOREIGN RELATIONS AUTHORIZATION ACT, FOR FISCAL YEAR 1977" RESTRICTING FOREIGN GIFTS

The Senate passed on 29 March a version of the Foreign Relations Authorization Act of 1977 (S.3168) which among other things (Amendment No. 1521--Section 103) restricts U.S. Government employees from giving gifts to foreign persons. The provision would, if adopted by the House, hinder foreign intelligence-gathering activities.

The wording of the prohibition in this provision applies broadly to limit payments from appropriated funds by U.S. officials to foreign persons without regard to the purpose—in cash or goods—to \$50 or less. The use of gifts and payments, as inducements to establish productive foreign intelligence relationships is an established practice. The comprehensive language of this provision, however, leaves unclear whether, in any given situation, something given to a foreign person could be considered a "gift" rather than consideration for establishing a bona fide relationship for foreign intelligence purposes. Additionally, the use of Government funds in operations involving investigation and interdiction of illicit drugs or other illegal trafficking could fall within the prohibitions in this provision.

The practice of "gift-giving" to establish relationships of trust in foreign intelligence liaison activities could also be affected adversely by this provision. If U.S. employees are effectively prohibited from giving or receiving such gifts—which generally are personal, ceremonial items—these liaison activities will only be made more difficult. There is no problem with that part of the provision related to retention of gifts by U.S. employees. It appears, however, that this matter is adequately covered by 5 U.S.C. 7342. The problem with the provision is that employees could not accept the gifts even for ultimate turnover to the U.S. Government. To prohibit acceptance of ceremonial and other gifts would be a slap in the face to foreign persons and would work against the need to establish relations of trust and equality that are necessary to develop productive interchanges.

Moreover, the requirement that the circumstances surrounding gifts to foreign persons be detailed in an annual presidential report to Congress could conflict with the responsibilities of the Director of Central Intelligence to protect intelligence sources and methods, as legislated in Section 102(d)(3) of the National Security Act of 1947, as amended (50 U.S.C.A. 403). A statutory conflict also could develop between the reporting requirement of this gift provision and Section 8 of the Central Intelligence Agency Act of 1949, as amended (50 U.S.C.A. 403j), which authorizes the CIA to expend funds for purposes to carry out its functions without having to make public such use of funds.

A reading of Senator Proxmire's remarks made at the time his amendment was considered indicates that the provision is not intended to cover operational activities of intelligence organizations. The broad language of the provision, however, could be interpreted so as to apply to foreign intelligence activities.

s immediate consideration. nendenent will be stated. The assistant legislative clerk proeded to read the amendment. Mr. PROXMIRE. Mr. President, I ask animous consent that further readig of the amendment be dispensed with. The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without ojection, it is so ordered.

The amendment is as follows:

On page 3, between lines 17 and 18, inrt the following new section: PONEIGN CIPTS

"Sec. 103. (a) The Act entitled 'An Act to

ovide certain basic authority for the Deirtment of State', approved August 1, 1956. amended, to amended by adding at the id thereof the following new section: "'SEC. 20, (a) No property purchased with propriated funds in excess of 850 and no propriated funds in excess of \$50 may be ven to any person of any foreign country.

"(b). Not later than thirty days following to end of each fiscal year, the President tall transmit a report to the Speaker of the ouse of Representatives and Committee on

oreign Relations of the Senate describing illy and completely—
"(1) any gift given on behalf of any perin by, or with the assistance of, any officer employee of the United States to any perm of any foreign country; and

"'(2) the activities of such officer or emloyes with respect to the giving of such

"'(c) Any financial transaction involving ny funds made available to meet unforeseen nergencies arising in the Diplomatic and onsular Service shall be audited by the omptroller General and reports thereon ade to the Congress to such extent and at ich times na he may determine necessary. he representatives of the General Accountig Office shall have access to all books, acjunts, records, reports, files, and all other apers, things, or property pertaining to such nancial transaction and necessary to faciliite the audit.

"(b)(1) Section 7342 of title 5, United tates Code, is amended-

"(A) by striking out the section caption ed inserting in lieu thereof the following: § 7342. Poreign gifts and decorations: ومرجاه الزواري والمام والعارات

(B) by striking out subsection (c) and iserting in lieu thereof the following:

(c) Congress does not consent to the ccepting or retaining by an employee of any it. No gift may be accepted by an em-

"(2) Item 7342 in the analysis of subchap-

"(c) The amendments made by this secon shall apply only with respect to gifts indered on or after the date of enactment

On page 3, line 20, strike out "Sec. 103." and insert in lieu thereof "Sec. 104.". On page 4, line 2, strike out "Src. 104." nd insert in lieu thereof "Sec. 105.".

On page 4, line 17, strike out "Sac. 105." ad insert in lieu thereof "Sac. 106.".
On pages 8, line 14, strike out "Sac. 106." ad lasert in lieu thereof. "Sec. 107.".

Mr. PROXMIRE. Mr. President, I have iscussed this amendment with the manger of the bill, the ranking minority tember, and as far as I know, they are illing to accept the amendment ....

This amendment, incidentally, has een passed by the Senate before; it did ot survive conference, but I hope it will 

Mr. President, as the deadline for fil-

tucie certainly must be millions of Americans gnashing their teeth in disgust over The PRESIDING APPROVED FOR Release 2004/09/27 DE KARDPING - 00498400050004000619 payer \$1 million per wasteful, unnecessary, and inappropria traditions ever perpetuated with tax dollars. I am referring to the giving and receiving of gifts by officials of both the United States and foreign countries.

Today, I am reintroducing an amendment to the State Department authorization bill-S. 3168—that, if enacted, would virtually eliminate the wasteful practice of giving and receiving gifts valued at over \$50, by U.S. and foreign government officials. Second, the amendment would call for the President to report annually to the Speaker of the House and the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate on all gifts provided with nonappropriated funds or from private sources passing through the Government to any person of a foreign country. Finally, my amendment would grant authority to the General Accounting Office to audit annually the Diplomatic and Consular Service Fund at the State Departmenta catch-all slush fund that has been used for everything from jewelry to disaster relief emergencies.

Mr. President, on October 2, 1974, I introduced amendment No. 1873 to the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961, requiring the President merely to report all properties of \$50 or more purchased with approprlated funds which were given by him or any officer in the Federal Government to any person of any foreign country. This amendment was modified to prohibit the giving and receiving of gifts by U.S. and foreign officials and was passed by voice vote on that day.

Unfortunately, the entire Foreign Assistance Act was recommitted to the Senate Appropriations Committee for further consideration and the amend-

Then on December 4, 1974, I introduced another amendment to S. 3394, the Foreign Assistance Act, that would have prohibited the expenditure of taxpayer funds for the giving of gifts:costing, more than \$50, to foreign heads of state of other foreign dignitaries. Also, contained in that amendment was language prohibiting the receipt of gifts from these foreign officials. Both Senator McGovern and Senator Brooks cosponsored this amendment with me and I was delighted to have this bipartisan support.

However, with assurances from my distinguished colleague Senator McGer of the Foreign-Relations-Committee, that subsequent comprehensive, corrective legislation on gift-giving would receive careful committee consideration, Twithdrew my amendment.

-In May of 1975 Lintroduced S. 1762, a bill to prohibit the giving of gifts and the acceptance of girts by Federal employees. It was referred to the Foreign Relations Committee without actions

Finally, on September 11, 1975, 1 reintroduced my gift-giving, and receiving amendment to the Foreign Relations Authorization Act of 1:76-1977-the same type of amendment that was accepted back in October of 1974 on the foreign aid bill, but subsequently died with that recommitted bill.

down the passage of that important appropriation bill, I withdrew my amendment after receiving assurance from the Mr. President, glit giving has nauly quadrupled over the past 25 years, and year to run this Federal "giveaway" program and to monitor the receipt of gifts from abroad. The American taxpayer is fed up and hopefully my colleagues in the Senate will see fit to give the belea-guered American taxpayer a "legislative refund" by passing this amendment prior to April 15.

.Mr. President, just a few more words about what I mean when referring to gift giving and receiving. The State Department has informed my office and the General Accounting. Office-that at least \$1. million annually is spent in the purchase and giving of gifts to various for-eign dignitaries. We all remember the \$3 million, VH3A Sikorsky helicopter this country gave to Egyptian President Anwar Sadat—a helicopter that is now rusting in the Egyptian desert. The GAO concluded that this gift was contrary to the "Intent of Congress." · .:

During former President Nixon's trip to the Near East, 76 other personal gifts were provided to local authorities. These ranged from Steuben crystal to more expensive American works of art. The funds for this gift giving came out of the \$2:1 million slush fund called the Emergencies-in the Diplomatic and Consular Services Account of the Department of State.

state.

And then there was the \$10 million Egyptian Pound gift to the favorite charity of Mrs. Anwar Sadat.

Mr. President, the State Department has refused to disclose the purpose of these gifts; and, the recipients of each, They continue to operate in secrecyhanding out tens of thousands of American tax dollars without any accountability or review.

Now Mr. President, the foreign policy of this country will not rise or fall on gift giving. We will not conclude a new arms control agreement or a Middle East peace agreement because of gift giving or receiving. This amendment recognizes that fact and establishes a Federal policy that is consistent with the regulations that apply: to many other tederal employees here at home. Small gifts for diplomatic purposes would still be permissible—those that cost under \$50 each. But extravagant gifts::clearly would be prohibited and that makes good sense for the taxpayers of this country...

Mr. President, Lam hopeful that the manager of the bill can accept the amendment., --

Mr. SPARKMAN, Mr. President, I may say that we discussed this rather fully last year.

. Mr. PROXMIRE. That is right.

Mr. SPARKMAN. I am ready to accept

Mr. CASE; This has been accepted by the Senate previously and I join my chairman, and accept the amendment now.

Mr. SPARKMAN. Tyleld back the re-

Mr. PROXMIRE: I yield back the rewander of my time: .

The PRESIDING OFFICER, All time baring been yielded back the question is on agreeing to the amendment of the Senator from Wisconsin. Senator from Wisconsin.
The amendment was agreed to.

SUBJECT: (O	ptional)					
FROM:			<del></del>		DD/A Registry File Lizarnel	
			EXTENSION	NO.		
	Inspector General		. 3	DATE		
TO: (Officer de	TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)		DATE		16 June 1976	
		RECEIVED	FORWARDED	OFFICER'S INITIALS	COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)	
EO Adn	uty Director for ninistration	17 JUN	1970	3		
AD DA				4		
3.		7 JUN 19	7 <b>6</b>			
1. D/Pu	io.				2-Y 25X1	
5.	(i) (i) (ii) (ii) (iii)	X .				
6.					that should be should be perpare a note to	
7.					Munep. I so, please	
8.					16 for DDH signations.	
			}		25X1A	
					17 JUN 1976 .	
ADDA:MJMa: Distribut:	lanick(17 Jun 76)	)			:	
Orig RS	- D/Pers w/att - DDA Subject w/ - DDA Chrono - MJM Chrono	(DDA 70 att	6-3037)	)		
and the	o dtd 16 Jun 76 t Er IG, subj: Age Proxmire Amendme as Authorization	ency Po	olicy c the Fo	n Gift.	GC s	
A "2-4	Assume you agree our rep. If so,	that		should	be	

-11		ROUTING	G AND	RECOR	D SHEET	
SUB	JECT: (Optional)			<u></u>		
FRO				EXTENSION	NO.	
	Director of Personnel 5 E 58 HQ				DATE & JUN 1976	
	TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)		DATE  RECEIVED FORWARDED		COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from to whom. Draw a line across column after each column	
1.	Acting Deputy Director				STA STA	
0	for Administration		<i>î</i>	1	Attached is a memorandum for signature designating Mr.	
2.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Cale	1/2		as the DDA representative	
3.	- EO DDA	- 1 U/G	79	11/	to the group to review overall Agency policy on gifts. In a memo dated 16 June, Dr. Chambe made this request of the DDO,	
	- FOLDDA	24 JU	N 1976	hy		
4.	Stine Apit				DDS&T, DDA, General Counsel an Legislative Counsel.	
					STATINTL	
5.						
6.					F. W. M. Janney	
0.						
7.					To 3:	
					Malen Sense	
8.					To 3: Makes sense has been	
	:				The War is to	
9.					in this up to his eyeballs wi State, etc.	
10.	<del></del>				CA I L	
11.					13,	
1	1 2					
12.	- 1					
13.				-	-	
14.					:	
15.						